

## Scottish Borders Council

## **Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)**

## Part 1 Scoping

## 1 Details of the Proposal

Title of Proposal:	Children and Young People's Planning Partnership
What is it?	A new Policy/Strategy/Practice □ No A revised Policy/Strategy/Practice □ No
Description of the proposal:  (Set out a clear understanding of the purpose of the proposal being developed or reviewed (what are the aims, objectives and intended outcomes, including the context within which it will operate).	The proposal is to seek Council approval for the inclusion of an elected member onto the Children and Young People's Planning Partnership (CYPPP).  The implementation of the new CYPPP offers a unique opportunity to reset the way we support and work with children, young people and families in Scottish Borders. It also allows us to reconsider and develop our practice as a multi-agency partnership, including strategic monitoring and evaluation of Corporate Parenting responsibilities.  Elected member involvement is crucial to demonstrate the Council's commitment to these agenda's.
Service Area: Department:	Social Work & Practice

Lead Officer: (Name and job title)	Stuart C. Easingwood – Director Social Work & Practice
Other Officers/Partners involved: (List names, job titles and organisations)	None
Date(s) IIA completed:	11/04/2023

# Will there be any cumulative impacts as a result of the relationship between this proposal and other policies?

No (please delete as applicable)	
If yes, - please state here:	

#### 3 Legislative Requirements

#### 3.1 Relevance to the Equality Duty:

Do you believe your proposal has any relevance under the Equality Act 2010?

(If you believe that your proposal may have some relevance – however small please indicate yes. If there is no effect, please enter "No" and go to Section 3.2.)

Equality Duty	Reasoning:
Elimination of discrimination (both direct & indirect), victimisation and harassment. (Will the proposal discriminate? Or help eliminate discrimination?)	N/A
Promotion of equality of opportunity? (Will your proposal help or hinder the Council with this)	N/A
Foster good relations? (Will your proposal help or hinder the council s relationships with those who have equality characteristics?)	The inclusion of an elected member in the Children and Young People's Planning Partnership Strategic Board will enhance the Council's relationships with children and young people who are care experienced.

## Which groups of people do you think will be or potentially could be, impacted by the implementation of this proposal? (You should consider employees, clients, customers / service users, and any other relevant groups)

Please tick below as appropriate, outlining any potential impacts on the undernoted equality groups this proposal may have and how you know this.

	Impact			Please explain the potential impacts and how you
	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	know this
Age Older or younger people or a specific age grouping		X		Positive impact on children and young people to have an elected member involved in strategic decision making about services that impact on them.
<b>Disability</b> e.g. Effects on people with mental, physical, sensory impairment, learning disability, visible/invisible, progressive or recurring		Х		As above in the context of children, young people and family services.
Gender Reassignment/ Gender Identity anybody whose gender identity or gender expression is different to the sex assigned to them at birth	Х			

	Х	
Marriage or Civil Partnership people who are married or in a civil partnership		
Pregnancy and Maternity (refers to the period after the birth, and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth),	X	
Race Groups: including colour, nationality, ethnic origins, including minorities (e.g. gypsy travellers, refugees, migrants and asylum seekers)	Х	
Religion or Belief: different beliefs, customs (including atheists and those with no aligned belief)	Х	
Sex women and men (girls and boys)	Х	
<b>Sexual Orientation</b> , e.g. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Heterosexual	Х	

#### 3.3 Fairer Scotland Duty

This duty places a legal responsibility on Scottish Borders Council (SBC) to actively consider (give due regard) to how we can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage when making <u>strategic</u> decisions.

The duty is set at a strategic level - these are the key, high level decisions that SBC will take. This would normally include strategy documents, decisions about setting priorities, allocating resources and commissioning services.

ls	the	pro	posa	l stra	tegic?
----	-----	-----	------	--------	--------

Yes

## If yes, please indicate any potential impact on the undernoted groups this proposal may have and how you know this:

	Impact			State here how you know this
	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
Low and/or No Wealth – enough money to meet basic living costs and pay bills but have no savings to deal with any unexpected spends and no provision for the future.		X		Having an elected member on this forum will enhance the Council's profile in relation to services to children, young people and families.
Material Deprivation – being unable to access basic goods and services i.e. financial products like life insurance, repair/replace broken electrical goods, warm home, leisure and hobbies		X		As above
<b>Area Deprivation –</b> where you live (e.g. rural areas), where you work (e.g. accessibility of transport)		Х		As above
Socio-economic Background – social class i.e. parents' education, employment and income		Х		As above
Looked after and accommodated children and young people		Х		As above
Carers paid and unpaid including family members		Х		As above
Homelessness		Х		As above
Addictions and substance use		Х		As above
Those involved within the criminal justice system		Х		As above

#### 4 Full Integrated Impact Assessment Required

Select No if you have answered "No" to all of Sections 3.1 - 3.3.

No

If a full impact assessment is not required briefly explain why there are no effects and provide justification for the decision.

I do not believe that a full Integrated Impact Assessment is required in this case as we are seeking Council agreement for an elected member (specifically the executive member for Developing Our Children & Young People) to join the Children and Young People's Planning Partnership as a voting member alongside a wider multi-agency group of people who are looking to improve outcomes for this group.

Signed by Lead Officer:	
Designation:	Director Social Work & Practice
Date:	
Counter Signature Director	SwtCG.
Date:	11/04/2023

## **Part 2 Full Integrated Impact Assessment**

#### 5 Data and Information

What evidence has been used to inform this proposal? (Information can include, for example, surveys, databases, focus groups, in-depth interviews, pilot projects, reviews of complaints made, user feedback, academic publications and consultants' reports).
Please state your answer here
Describe any gaps in the available evidence,-then record this within the improvement plan together with all of the actions you are taking in relation to this (e.g. new research, further analysis, and when this is planned)
Please state your answer here

#### 6 Consultation and Involvement

Which groups are involved in this process and describe their involvement

Please state your answer here
Describe any planned involvement saying when this will take place and who is responsible for managing the process
Please state your answer here
Describe the results of any involvement and how you have taken this into account.
Please state your answer here
What have you learned from the evidence you have and the involvement undertaken? Does the initial assessment remain valid? What new (if any) impacts have become evident?
(Describe the conclusion(s) you have reached from the evidence, and state where the information can be found.)
Please state your answer here
Thouse state your another here

#### 7 Mitigating Actions and Recommendations

#### Consider whether:

Could you modify the proposal to eliminate discrimination or reduce any identified negative impacts? (If necessary, consider other ways in which you could meet the aims and objectives of the proposal.)

Could you modify the proposal to increase equality and, if relevant, reduce poverty and socioeconomic disadvantage?

Describe any modifications which you can make without further delay (e.g. easy, few resource implications)

Mitigation Please summarise all mitigations for approval by the decision makers who will approve your proposal					
Equality Characteristic/Socio economic factor	Mitigation	Resource Implications (financial, people, health, property etc)	Approved Yes/No		

#### **8 Recommendation and Reasoning** (select which applies)

- Implement proposal with no amendments
- Implement proposal taking account of mitigating actions (as outlined above)
- Reject proposal due to disproportionate impact on equality, poverty, health and Socio -economic disadvantage

Reason for recommendation:	
Signed by Lead Officer:	
Designation:	
Date:	
Counter Signature Director	
Date:	

#### Office Use Only (not for publication)

This assessment should be presented to those making a decision about the progression of your proposal.

If it is agreed that your proposal will progress, you must send an electronic copy to corporate communications to publish on the webpage within 3 weeks of the decision.

Complete the below two sections. For your records, please keep a copy of this Integrated Impact Assessment form.

## Action Plan (complete if required)

Action Date:
Date completed:
red, including implementation of any amendments? For

What are the practical arrangements for monitoring? For example who will put this in place? When will it start?
Please state your answer here
When is the proposal due for review?
Please state your answer here
Who is responsible for ensuring that this happens?
Please state your answer here